# **Preliminary Economic Contributions to USDA-ARS Biological** Control of Arundo donax in the Rio Grande Basin

<sup>1</sup>Seawright, Emily K., <sup>1</sup>M. Edward Rister, <sup>1</sup>Ron D. Lacewell, <sup>2</sup>John A. Goolsby, <sup>3</sup>Allen W. Sturdivant.

### Problem and Objective

- Consumption of scarce water resources exacerbated by the unmitigated growth of giant reed.
- > Analyze the economics of proposed biological control management program.
- > Estimate the program's life-cycle cost and the potential economic benefit of recaptured water.

#### Giant Reed (Arundo donax)

- > Perennial, aquatic invasive weed that thrives along riparian areas of the Rio Grande Basin.
- > Native to the Mediterranean Basin and was imported by immigrants for thatching roofs and later used for erosion control (Jackson et al. 2002).
- > 10,000-20,000 acres between Laredo and Del Rio in 2002 and an estimated 60.000 acres in 2007 (Goolsby).

## Biology

- > Exhibits a rapid growth/expansion rate.
- > Grows to a height of 20-30 feet tall (Bell 1997) with a biomass of 8.3 dry tons per acre (Hoshovsky 1986).
- > Consumes approximately 49 gallons per year for every square foot of giant reed (Jackson et al. 2002).

\*Reducing water to arid region

- Native vegetation consumes 1/3 this amount
- Re-channelizes water stream, creating a faster, deeper flow.
- > Undercuts roots, causing large stands to break off, float downstream, and damage infrastructure in its path.
- > Reproduces vegetatively through nodes located throughout the plant and by sections of the rhizome (Wijte 2005).
- > Expansive root system: rhizome can grow to approximately three feet thick with fibrous roots reaching approximately 16 feet in depth (Oakins 2001).



#### Control Measures – Traditional and Potential

- > Unmitigated growth can be managed using mechanical and chemical control methods.
  - \* Measures are temporary, costly, cause damage to non-target vegetation and are potentially contentious (i.e., international chemical-use disagreement with Mexico).
- > United States Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS) proposed a biological control program for the management of the growth and spread of this invasive plant.
  - Four herbivore insect species under investigation to determine suitability and potential impact on giant reed.

Potential Annual Gross Benefits (millions of dollars) of Mitigating 60,000 acres of Arundo donax in the Rio Grande Basin Riparian Area, 2008.

	\$50 Net Water Savings Per Acre			\$75 Net Water Savings Per Acre			\$100 Net Water Savings Per Acre			\$200 Net Water Savings Per Acre		
Annual Water Consumption Rate (ac-ft per acre)	5%	25%	50%	5%	25%	50%	5%	25%	50%	5%	25%	50%
3.80 <sup>b</sup>	\$0.57	\$2.85	\$5.70	\$0.86	\$4.28	\$8.55	\$1.14	\$5.70	\$11.40	\$2.28	\$11.40	\$22.8
4.37°	0.65	3.27	6.54	0.98	4.91	9.81	1.31	6.54	13.08	2.62	13.08	26.1
5.00 <sup>d</sup>	0.75	3.75	7.50	1.13	5.63	11.25	1.50	7.50	15.00	3.00	15.00	30.0
* Source: Derived from Texa	s Agrilife	Extensio	on Servic	e Crop E	nterprise	e Budget	s (2006) a	nd Roge	rs et al. (20	38).		
Source: Derived from Jack	son (2002	2).										
Source: Derived from Bell (	1997).											



#### Qualifications and Limitations to Results

- > The results are preliminary and represent probable bounds of potential water savings and associated value from mitigating the growth of Arundo donax.
- > A range of effectiveness is assumed regarding the potential efficacy of the biological control agents until further data is available.
- > Water uptake of giant reed is assumed to be constant throughout the year (possibly an overestimate).
- > Conveyance losses associated with the reduction of giant reed need to be considered.
- > Benefits are currently quantified only in the Rio Grande Basin riparian area; other potential benefits need to be quantified.

#### Future Research

- Confirm and improve growth curve functions.
- > Improve water-parameter related data.
- > Confirm efficacy of beneficial insects.
- > Assimilate biological control cost-related data.

Once these issues are resolved, a more precise estimate of the potential net impact of the program will be available.





- Bell, G. 1997. "Ecology and Management of Arundo donax, and Approaches to Riparian Habitat Restoration in Southern California." In J.H. Brock, M. Wade, P. Pysek and D. Green (Eds.): Plant Invasions: Studies from North America and Europe. Leiden, The Netherlands: Blackhuys Publishers, pp. 103-13.
- Dudley, T. Undated, "Noxious Wildland Weeds of California: Arundo donax." Available at http://ceres.ca.gov/tadn/ecology\_impacts/arundo\_ww.html. Accessed on 9 November 2006
- Goolsby, J. 2007. Personal Communication. Entomologist. USDA-ARS. Weslaco, TX, 30 August 2007
- Hoshovsky, M. 1986. Element Stewardship Abstract for Arundo donax. The Nature Conservancy. Available at
- http://tncweeds.ucdavis.edu/esadocs/documents/arundon.html, Accessed on 16 November 2006.
- Jackson, N.E., W. Katagi, C. Loper, 2002, "Southern California Integrated Watershed Program: Arundo Removal Protocol." Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority. Available at http://www.sawpa.org/arundo/. Accessed on 1 March 2007.
- Oakins, A. 2001. "An Assessment and Management Protocol for Arundo donax in the Salinas Valley Watershed." Capstone Project, California State University Montere Bay.
- Rogers, Callie S., Allen W. Sturdivant, M. Edward Rister, Ronald D. Lacewell, and Javier G. Santiago. 2008. "Economic Cost of Conventional Surface-Water Treatment: A Case Study of the McAllen Northwest Plant." Texas Water Resource Institute, College Station, TX, (Forthcoming)
- Texas Agri-Life Extension Service. 2006. Crop Enterprise Budgets. Available at http://agecoext.tamu.edu/resources/crop-livestock-budgets/by-district/district-12/2007.html. Accessed on: 14 November 2007.
- Wijte, A. H.B.M., T. Mizutani, E.R. Motamed, M.L. Merryfield, D.E. Miller, D.E. Alexander, 2005. "Temperature and Endogenous Factors Cause Seasonal Patterns in Rooting by Stem Fragments of the Invasive Giant Reed, Arundo Donax (Poaceae)," International Journal of Plant Science 166 (3): 507-17.

## Authors

1Texas A&M University, Dept of Agricultural Economics, 306A John R. Blocker Building, 2124 TAMU, College Station, Texas, ekseawright@ag.tamu.edu, <sup>2</sup>United States Dept of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Kika de la Garza Subtropical Agriculture Research Center, Beneficial Insects Research Unit, Weslaco

3Texas A&M University Research & Extension Center, Weslaco, Texas.



Funding provided in part by the Rio Grande Basin Initiative, Texas Water urces Institute, USDA-ARS.



- Data and Methods
- > Temporal growth curves for height, area, and density were determined using the logistic growth function.
- > Residual returns to water were calculated using Extension crop budgets for District 12.
- > After the total amount of cane was determined and entered into the growth equation, a value was calculated for the amount of water consumed.
- > The temporal rate of growth associated with the biological control agents is currently being researched at the USDA-APHIS guarantine facility located on Moore Air Base in Mission, Texas.
- > These results allow for the calculation of the net water saved, considering native replacement species.

#### Preliminary Results and Potential Implications

- > Analysis currently in process for determining the net impact of this project.
- Preliminary results indicate an amount of 262,300 acre-feet of water potentially saved from the elimination of giant reed, for a total annual value ranging from \$0.57 million to \$30 million for 2007